

Didactic Journal of Medicinal Plant Research Vol 1 (1) pp. 001-006 January, 2016. http://<u>www.didacticjournals.org/djmpr</u> Copyright © 2016 Didactic Journals

Original Research Paper

In vitro Antifungal Activity of Musk

Kamil M. M. AL-Jobori^{1*}, Aseel I. AL-Ameed² and Noor M. Witwit¹

¹Institute of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology for Post Graduate Studies, University of Baghdad, Iraq. ²College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad, Iraq.

Accepted 24th October, 2015.

Fungi are everywhere. Fungi play a great role in causing some of the dangerous diseases affecting human, animal and plant. This study was carried out to evaluate *in vitro* effects of different concentrations of musk (25, 50, 75 or 100 %) and amounts (1, 2 or 4 ml) on five fungi which include *Aspergillus fumigates, Aspergillus niger, Alternaria* spp., *Trichophyton mentagrophytes,* and *Fusarium* spp. Results indicated that all concentrations and amounts of musk had inhibitory effects on the growth of studied pathogenic fungi and eliminated completely. The results revealed that musk has inhibitory and killer effect at the low concentration 25 % and small amount 1 ml. It was also shown that musk was more effective than the antibiotic Clotrimazole. These results indicated that musk can be used as a safe natural product in the management and control of pathogenic fungi, so it provides a promising source for new drug development.

Keywords: Musk, Pathogens, Fungi, Inhibition, Antibiotics.

INTRODUCTION

Musk is known to have been used in medicine and as a fragrance since 3500 BC. The musk scent was thought to have been used in the early civilizations of ancient China and ancient India for ritual purposes (1). Musk is currently used for expensive perfume all over the world and for traditional medicine in oriental countries. Musk is formed from several compounds, the main compound which causes the odour is muscone (3methylcyclopentadecan-1-one) the active ingredient of musk (2), has medicinal properties, Other compounds present in musk include steroids, paraffins, triglycerides, waxes, muco pyridine, other nitrogenous substances and fatty acids (3, 4). It has been long used in traditional medicine as a sedative and stimulant of the heart, nerves, breathing, sex (4, 5, 6), in resuscitation and refreshment, promoting blood flow and clearing channels, detumescence and alleviating pain (7). It is also thought to be effective against snake venom and as an anti-inflammatory agent (Gaski and Johnson, 1994), and to treat a variety of ailments (8, 9).

Fungi are everywhere. Fungi that are pathogens are usually plant pathogenic, there are approximately 1.5 million different species of fungi on earth, fungal diseases are often caused by fungi that are common in the environment. Fungi live outdoors in soil and on plants as well as on many indoor surfaces and on human skin. Most fungi are not dangerous, but some types can be harmful to health (10, 11). Fungi According to Hawksworth (12), there are a little more than 400 of these species are known to cause disease in animals, and far fewer of these species will specifically cause disease in humans.

Fungi can cause Aspergillosis, pneumomycosis or bronchomycosis. The most common fungus causing diseases is Aspergillus fumigatus, however, other species can cause diseases such as Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus niger and Aspergillus terreus. Clinical signs of Aspergillus infection can be classified into three types: Allergic Aspergillosis, with similar symptoms to bronchial asthma disease, and the third is the infection with the invasive Aspergillosis (13). Fusarium is one of the opportunistic fungi, its toxicity is known by Fusariotoxicosis caused by mold corn toxicosis in many animals. Besides the harm that occurs due to Fusariom infection that can cause stem rotting of Zea mays and necrosis, scab of barley and wheat occurs as well. Makun et al. (14) found that among 49 millets, there were 12 of them infected by Aflatoxin B1 and 35 out of 55 of isolated fungi to study their toxin production considered a rat killer were Fusarium, Aspergillus, Penicillium, Mucor, and Rhizopus.

Due to the widespread and often indiscriminate use of antimicrobial drugs, many microorganisms have acquired resistance to specific antibiotic treatments and these strains are

*Corresponding Author: Kamil M. M. AL-Jobori. Institute of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology for Post Graduate Studies, University of Baghdad, Iraq. Email: kamilaljobori@yahoo.com

particularly evident in the hospital environment (15). This has created immense clinical problems in the treatment of infectious diseases (16). In addition to this problem, antibiotics are sometimes associated with adverse effects on host, which include hypersensitivity, depletion of beneficial gut and mucosal microorganisms, immunosuppression and allergic reactions (17).

Repeated consumption of antibiotics leads to the development of more resistant fungi and increased damages of great amount of disease spread with side effects. Hsueh *et al.* (18) mentioned that among 59 isolated spore species from *C. glabrata*, about 16 appeared isolated (27%), and were not affected by the antifungal fluconazole. Because of the side effects and the resistance that pathogenic microorganisms build against the antibiotics, therefore, it is worthwhile to look for an alternative cure such as extracting biological active compounds from plant species that are used in herbal medicine (19) or Musk (20, 21, 22).

Most researches were directed and dedicated to study and discover new natural sources that can suppress pathogenic fungi and replace chemical use of the antifungal drug. One of those sources was the musk, many investigations were carried out to study the use of musk to inhibit the growth of many pathogenic microorganisms for human, animals and plants (23). Saddiq (24) mentioned that 25% of musk gave the highest percentage of suppression of biomass for each of *A. niger*, *F. oxysporum* and *C. albicans*.

Saddiq and Al-Elyani (25) mentioned the high potency of both musk and sider in limiting liver toxicity in rats treated with *Aspergillus flavus* and Aaflatoxin. Saddiq (20) reported the ability of musk to inhibit the growth of *Penicillium puberulum* fungus. Saddiq and Kalifa (26) proved the effectiveness of musk and sider extract in treating renal mycotoxicity Al-Jobori *et al.* (22) reported that musk has inhibitory effects on the growth of *Cryptococcus neoformans, Candida albicans* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae,* results also showed that the musk was more effective than antibiotics.

Badawy *et al.*, (21) mentioned that Musk is a safe natural product having the privilege of being anti *Trichomonas vaginalis* as well as antifungal. This study was carried out to evaluate *in vitro* effect of different concentrations and amounts of Musk on five types of pathogenic opportunistic fungi.

The experimental fungi are Aspergillus fumigates, Aspergillus niger, alternaria Spp., Trichomphyton mentagrophytes, and Fusarium spp.

METHODOLOGY

Musk

Synthetic musk (a Pakistani product) was purchased from local Iraqi markets. The various concentrations (25, 50, 75 or 100 %) and amounts (1, 2 or 4ml) of musk were tested for their inhibitory potency and alcohol as control.

Microorganism Strains

A total of five isolates of fungi namely are *Aspergillus fumigates, Aspergillus niger, alternaria* Spp., *Trichomphyton mentagrophytes,* and *Fusarium* Spp were isolated and diagnosis from the Zoontic Disease Unit, College of Veterinary Medicine, Baghdad University. They were maintained on sabaroud dextrose agar.

Screening of Antibacterial and Antiyeast Activity

Sabouraud dextrose Agar (SDA) (Merck Company) were used as a base medium for screening of antifungal activity.

Preparation and Standardization of Inoculums

Four to Five colonies from pure growth of each test organism were transferred to 5 ml. of broth (SDB). The broth was incubated at 25 $^{\circ}$ C for three days. The turbidity of the culture was compared to 0.5 Mcfarland Nephelometer Standard which contains 1.5*108 cell ml-1, the standardized inoculums suspension was inoculated within 15 – 20 minutes.

Experimental Study In vitro

The experimental study *in vitro* for screening fungal activity was carried out according to (27). 19, 18 or 16 ml of agar were sterilized at 121°C for 20 min in the autoclave, and then mixed with the amounts 1, 2 or 4 ml from each concentration 25, 50, 75 or 100 % of musk. The agar-musk mixture was then poured into 75 mm Petri dishes and was allowed to cool and set. The SDA plates were seeded with 0.1 ml of standardized inoculums of each test organism (*Aspergillus fumigates, Aspergillus niger, alternaria spp., Trichomphyton mentagrophytes,* and *Fusarium*). The inoculums was spread evenly over plate with loop or sterile glass spreader or cotton swab, and ethanol 80% was used as control. The experiment was performed five times.

Incubation

The inoculated plates were incubated at 25 °C for 7 days, and the activity of musk was determined by measuring the diameter of inhibition zone (mm).

Sensitivity Test for Antibiotic

Discs of antibiotics were used to comparative between sensitivity of fungi for musk activity and drugs of antibiotics. Clotrimazole 0.01g/ml (1% dilution) was used as the control. The Petri dishes were left at room temperature for 2 hours to allow the extract diffuse into the medium after which it was incubated at room temperature for 7 days (28, 29).

Statistical study

The experiment was conducted and analyzed as a factorial experiment with five replication in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD). Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Analysis System- SAS -computer package program (30). The means were separated following least significance difference (LSD) test.

RESULTS

Effect of musk concentrations were significant on fungi in all treated types at the end of incubation period (Table 1). All concentrations (25, 50, 75 or 100 %) inhibited the growth of fungi and eliminated completely, and gave the inhibition zone of 75 mm at all concentrations (figure 1), with the exception of the fungus *T. mentagrophytes*, who has exhibited weak resistance and showed growth 5.33 % at 25% and 6.23% at 100%, and the fungus *A. niger* 6.67% at 100%.

Fungal types	Diamete	Diameters of inhibition zones (mm)# Musk concentrations (%)								
	Musk co									
	0	25	50	75	100					
Aspergillus fumigatus	0.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00				
Aspergillus niger	0.00	75.00	75.00	70.00	70.00	72.50				
Trichophyton mentagrophytes	0.00	71.00	75.00	75.00	70.33	72.83				
Alternaria Spp.	0.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00				
Fusarium Spp.	0.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00				
Mean of musk concentrations	0.00	74.20	75.00	74.00	73.00					

Inhibition zones (75mm) diameter L.S.D.0.05 (conc. 25% =3.09, conc. 50% = N.S, conc. 75% = 3.11, conc. 100% = 3.19) L.S.D.0.05 (fungal types = N.S, conc. = N.S, fungal types *conc.= N.S)



Fig. 1. Effect of musk treatment at concentration of 100% in amounts of 1,2 and 4 ml. on A. fumigatus.



Fig. 2. Control treatment (with out musk).

www.didacticjournals.org

Table 2. Effect of musk amounts on fungal types growth

Fungal types	Diameter	Mean of fungal types						
	Musk am	Musk amounts (ml)						
	1	2	4					
Aspergillus fumigatus	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00				
Aspergillus niger	67.5	75.00	75.00	72.50				
Trichophyton mentagrophytes	71.5	72.00	75.00	72.83				
Alternaria Spp.	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00				
Fusarium Spp.	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00				
Mean of musk quantities	72.8	74.4	75.00					

Inhibition zones (75mm) diameter

L.S.D.0.05 (amount 1 ml = 3.23, amount 2 ml = N.S, amount 4 ml = N.S)

L.S.D.0.05 (fungal types = N.S, amount = N.S, fungal types *amount = N.S)

Table 3. Effect of musk concentration and musk quantities on inhibition zone

Musk concentrations (%)		Diameters of inhibition zones (mm) # Musk amounts (ml)						
	1	2	4					
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
25	72.60	75.00	75.00	74.20				
50	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00				
75	72.00	75.00	75.00	74.00				
100	71.6	72.60	75.00	73.07				
Mean of musk quantities	58.24	59.52	60.00					

Inhibition zones (75mm) diameter L.S.D.0.05 (conc. 25% = N.S, conc. 50% = N.S, conc. 75% = N.S, conc. 100% = N.S)

L.S.D.0.05 (amount 1 ml =N.S, amount 2 ml = N.S, amount 4 ml = N.S) L.S.D.0.05 (conc. = N.S, amount= N.S, conc* amount= N.S.)

Musk conc. (%)	Dia	Diameters of inhibition zones (mm)#														
	0			25			50			75			100)		
Musk amounts	1	2	4	1	2	4	1	2	4	1	2	4	1	2	4	
(ml) Fungal types																
Aspergillus fumigatus	0	0	0	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	
Aspergillus niger	0	0	0	75	75	75	75	75	75	60	75	75	60	75	75	
Trichophyton mentagrophytes	0	0	0	63	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	73	63	75	
Alternaria Spp.	0	0	0	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	
Fusarium Spp.	0	0	0	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	

Inhibition zones (75mm) diameter

L.S.D.0.05 (fungal types *conc. * amount = N.S)

Table 5. Antibiotics sensitivity of fungi

	Diameters of inhibition zones (mm)#					
Fungal types	Clotrimazole antibiotic					
Aspergillus fumigatus	34					
Aspergillus niger	36					
Trichophyton mentagrophytes	5					
Alternaria Spp.	23					
Fusarium Spp.	21					

Inhibition zones (75mm)diameter

L.S.D.0.05 = 3.329

Didact. Med. Plant Res. | 005

Whilst control treatment showed intensive growth of fungus (figure 2). There was no significant differences between musk concentrations, also fungi did not differ significantly in their response to musk treatments.

Table 2 shows that all musk amounts (1, 2 or 4 ml) used in this experiment inhibited fungi growth and eliminated completely, the inhibition zone was 75 mm at all amounts. With the exception of *A. niger* fungus, which showed growth slightly 10% when using the amount 1 ml, and the fungus *T. mentagrophytes* 4.67 and 4.0 % when using the amounts of musk 1 and 2 ml, respectively. There were no significant differences between musk amounts, also fungi did not differ significantly in their response to musk amounts. With the exception of at 1ml where fungi differed significantly in their response, *A. fumigates, Alternaria Spp.* and *Fusarium Spp.* Were eliminated completely, whilst *A. niger* and *T. mentagrophytes* showed weak resistance to musk.

The most activity was found in the interaction between the amounts 2 and 4ml with all concentrations (25, 50, 75 or 100%), whilst the interaction between the amount 1 ml with concentrations showed a degree of a antifungal activity (Table 3).

The interaction of amounts (1, 2 or 4 ml) and concentrations (25, 50, 75 or 100%) of musk with the types of fungi (*Aspergillus fumigates, Aspergillus niger, alternaria Spp., Trichomphyton mentagrophytes,* and *Fusarium*) did not show significant differences in the effectiveness of inhibitory (Table 4).

The results from the bioassay are tabulated in Table 5. *T. mentagrophytes* showed resistance for antibiotic Clotrimazole with inhibitory zone 5 mm. Higher inhibitory effect showed on *A. fumigatus* and *A. niger* with zone diameter 34.0 and 36.0 mm, respectively (Table 5). Also, *Alternaria Spp* and *Fusarium Spp* were susceptible to the antibiotic than *T. mentagrophytes*. In all, musk exhibited more pronounced inhibitory effect on fungi compared to antibiotic.

DISCUSSION

We have studied the influence of musk and pharmaceutical form on clotrimazole activity against 5 fungi isolate. The standardized method for the susceptibility testing of antifungal is the broth dilution method (31), we have used a method derived from the agar diffusion method of susceptibility testing to antimicrobial musk and drugs, in order to test the ability to diffuse from different concentrations. Many investigations were carried out to study the use of musk to inhibit the growth of many pathogenic microorganisms for human, animals and plants (12,20, 21,22).

Table 1 shows the inhibitory effect of Musk extract on the growth of *Aspergillus fumigates, Aspergillus niger, alternaria* Spp., *Trichomphyton mentagrophytes*, and *Fusarium* Spp. pathogens. Results indicated that Musk extract is more effective on the tested fungi, All concentrations (25, 50,75 or 100%) and amounts (1, 2, 4 ml) inhibited the growth of fungi and eliminated completely, and gave the inhibition zone of 75 mm at all concentrations, with the exception of the fungus *T. mentagrophytes*, who has exhibited weak resistance and showed growth 5.33% at 25% and 6.23% at 100%, and the fungus *A. niger* 6.67% at 100% (Tables 1, 2).

The results revealed that musk has inhibitory effect at the low concentration 25 % and small amount 1 ml. Our results were in agreement with (24)who mentioned that 25% of musk gave the highest percentage of suppression of biomass for each of *A. niger, F. oxysporum* and *C. albicans*. Other authors (13, 21, 22) reported that musk has inhibitory effects on the growth of fungi.

Musk had great role in suppression of the opportunistic fungal growth. Musk action can be caused by chemical structure of musk as it contained muscone the active ingredient of musk (2), Other compounds and metabolic products such as alkaloids, flavonoids, sterols and antibiotics which have great effect as antimicrobial agents (32). Highly volatile oils percentage and contain sterol hormones in which the most important was muskopyridine besides some enzymes that can elongate lag phase or affect mitotic divisions and elongate fungal cells acids (3, 4).

These compounds may affect fungi cells through disrupting their membranes, thereby depriving the substrate or inactivating the enzymes. This leads to cell lysis and death. Cowan (33) suggested that polyphenols act on the microbes by disrupting their membranes, depriving the substrate or inactivating the enzymes. Also, Musk extract compounds may inhibit the microorganisms through inhibiting the synthesis of nucleic acids resulting in formation of abnormal proteins (34). However, its inhibitory effect may be due to the presence of volatile oils (35).

There were no statistically significant effects of the interaction between musk concentrations with musk amounts or (fungi x concentrations x amounts) (Tables 3, 4). Results presented in these tables indicate the inhibitory and lethal effectiveness of musk at the low concentrations and small amounts on all types of fungi studied in this experiment. Saddiq (36) indicated that treatment with Musk extract and Seder is highly effective in growth inhibition and reducing the biomass of *Aspergillus flavus* pathogenic fungus, that produce Aflatoxin resulting various hazards for bio tissues as liver toxicity.

Jan and Agar (37) mentioned that musk caused inhibition in spore germination of five otomycotic pathogens *Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus flavus, Absidia corymbifera, Penicillum nigericans* and *Candida albicans*. Musk can also decrease growth due to suppression of spores or due to formation of complex toxic substance formed after joining the protein with musk inside the cells and enzyme activity suppression can affect negatively the metabolic processes of the pathogenic fungus during the growth period, that is similar to the role of fungicidal substances that cause suppression (38,39).

Occasionally, in some cases, antifungal therapy is a failure because of resistance to the antifungal drugs by the fungi. Table 5 shows affection of Clotrimazole drug. The fungus was *T. mentagrophytes* show more resistance compared with other fungi. Musk also proved more effective against the tested fungi more than Clotrimazole antibiotic (Tables 1-5). Clotrimazole (1-o-chloro- α , α -diphenylbenzyl) imidazole is a synthetic imidazole, having a broad spectrum of fungicidal activity, being effective against both dermatophytes and yeast-like fungi.

The mechanism may involve an action on the fungal cell membrane whereby the uptake of essential nutrients is inhibited (28). Previous studies indicated that musk was more effective than Nystatin antibiotic (25), and Clotrimazole antibiotic (22). It was discovered that the high concentration of musk 100% was less effective compared with other concentrations as shown in Tables 1, 2 and 4. In previous study, AL-Jobori *et al.* (22) attributed the reason of this probably due to the fact that musk with high concentration had high viscosity and caused cracks in the media, which impeded its spread through the media.

CONCLUSION

This study suggested that musk have an efficient role in suppression and eliminated of pathogenic fungi. In comparison with antibiotics, the results showed that the musk was more

REFERENCES

- Pilz, W. (1997). Der Moschusduft-Eine parfumhistorische Betrachtung. SEPAWA Kongreßzeitschrift. Verlag für chemische Industrie H. Ziolkowsky GmbH, Augsburg 1997. Pp. 43-47.
- 2. Lai, J.H. (1976). Pharmacognosy. Taipei: Chuang-I Press, 541.
- 3. Oh ,S.R., Lee, J.P, Chang ,S.Y, et al. (2002) . Androstane alkaloids from musk of Moschus moschiferus. Chem. Pharm. Bull.; 50:663-664.
- 4. Thevis, M., Schänzer, W., Geyer, H., et al. (2013). Traditional Chinese medicine and sports drug testing: identification of natural steroid administration in doping control urine samples resulting from musk (pod) extracts. Br. J. Sports Med.;47(2):109-114.
- 5. Kun-Ying Yen. (1992). The illustrated Chines Materia Medica. Crude and Prepared. SMC Publishing Inc. Taipei, Taiwan. 383 .
- Gaski, A. L. & Johnson, K.A. (1994). Prescription for Extinction: Endangered species and Patented Oriental Medicines in Trade. TRAFFIC USA, Washington DC, and TRAFFIC International, Cambridge. 300 pp.
- Cheng, D. H., Wang, J., Zeng, N., et al. (2011). Study on drug property differences of shexiang (moschus) and bingpian (borneolum synthcticum) based on analysis of biothermodynamics. J. Tradit. Chin. Med. ;31(1):21-6.
- Yang, Q., & Feng, Z.(1999). The status and the sustainable use of musk deer in China. In: Hu Jinchu & Wu Yu (eds), The resource of vertebrate animals in Sichuan. Sichuan Science and Technology Press, Chengdu.
- Homes, V. (1999). On the Scent: Conserving Musk Deer the Uses of Musk and Europe's Role in its Trade. TRAFFIC Europe, Brussels, Belgium.
- Hawksworth, D.L.(2001). The magnitude of fungal diversity: the 1.5 million species estimate revisited. Mycol. Res.; 105:1422-1432.
- 11. Garcia-Solache, M.A., & Casadevall, Á. (2010). Global warming will bring new fungal diseases for mammals. mBio ;1(1): 1-3.
- Hawksworth, D. L. (1992). Fungi: A neglected component of biodiversity crucial to ecosystem function and maintenance. Canadian Biodiversity; 1: 4-10.
- Saddiq AAN.2014. Antiagnostic effect of musk and sidr leaves on some of the opportunistic fungi that cause Lung toxicity. Life Science Journal; 11(2s):99-108.
- Makun ,H. A., Gbodi, T. A., Tijani , A. S., et al.(2007).Toxicologic screening of fungi isolated from millet (Pennisetum spp.) during the rainy and dry harmattan seasons in Niger state, Nigeria. Academic journals; 34 - 40.
- 15. Evan's , W.C .(1999). Trease and Evan's pharmacognosy. 14th Edn., W.B. Saunders Company Ltd , London.
- 16. Davis, J.(1994). Inactivation of antibiotics and the dissemination of resistance genes. Science ;264:375-382.
- Idose, O., Guthe, T., Willeox, R., et al. (1968). Nature and extent of penivillin side reaction with particular references to fatalities from anaphylactic shock. Bulletin of WHO;38:159-188.
- Hsueh, P.R., Lau, Y.J., Chuang, Y. C., et al. (2005). Anti fungal Susceptibilities of Clinical Isolates of Candida Species, Cryptococcus neoformans, and Aspergillus Species from Taiwan. Surveillance of Multicenter Antimicrobial Resistance in Taiwan Program Data from 2003. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy; 49 (2): 512-517.
- Hassawi, D., & Kharma ,A .(2006). Antimicrobial activity of some medicinal plants against Candida albicans. J. Biol. Sci.; 6(1):109-114.
- Saddiq, A.A.N. (2011). Potential Effect of Natural Musk and Probiotic on Some Pathogens Strain. International Research Journal of Microbiology; 2(5): 146-152.
- Badawy, A.F., Elleboudy, N.A., & Hussein, H.M.(2014). Assessment of in vitro anti-Trichomonas vaginalis activity of deer musk. International Journal of Advanced Research; 2 (8): 668-678.
- 22. AL-Jobori, K.M.M., AL-Khafagi ,N.A., & Witwit, N.M.(2014). Evaluation of the antagonistic effect of musk on eleven bacterial

strains and three types of yeast. Topclass Journal of Microbiology; 2)(1): 1-6.

- 23. Saddiq, A.A.N.(2004). The use of musk as an antibiotic against fungi and yeasts. Patented, King Abdul Aziz City for Science and Technology. Riyadh, SA.
- Saddiq, A. A. N. (2007) .Study of the Effectiveness of Different Concentrations of Musk on the Growth of Some Pathogenic Fungi. Journal of the Association of Arab Biologists, Cairo, Arab Republic of Egypt.
- 25. Saddiq ,A.A.N., & EI- Elyani, R.A .(2009). Liver mycotoxicosis treated with musk and sidr extract. Egyptian J. Exper. Biol. Egypt Tanata, pp. 17-29.
- Saddiq, A.A.A. & Kalifa, S.A.(2011). Impact of fungal content of some Arabic nuts to induce kidney toxicity and agonistic action of natural resources. African Journal of Microbiology Research; 5(9): 1046-1056.
- Kambizi ,L., & Afolayan, A.J.(2008). Extraction from Aloe ferox and Withania somnifera inhibit Candida albicans and Neisseria gonorrhea. African J. Biotech.; 7(1):12-15.
- Dorneanu, O., Popovici, I., Boiculese, L., et al. (2003). Influence of Clotrimazole pharmaceutics on antifungal activity. The J. of Preventive Medicine; 11 (1): 41-46.
- 29. Pakshir, K., Bahaedinie, L., Rezaei, Z., et al. (2009). In vitro activity of six antifungal drugs against clinically important Dermatophytes. Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology; 2(4): 158-163.
- 30. SAS. (2012). Statistical Analysis System, User's Guide. Statistical. Version 9.1th ed.SAS. Inst. Inc. Cary. N.C. USA.
- (NCCLS) National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards.(1992). Reference method for broth dilution susceptibility testing of yeast: proposed standard .NCCLS document M27-P. Villanova, PA.
- Ekwenye, U.N., & Elegalam, N.N.(2005). Antibacterial activity of ginger (Zingiber officinale Roscoe) and (Allium sativum L.) extract on Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhi. J. Molecular and Advance Sci.;1(4):411–416.
- Cowan, M.M. (1999). Plant products as antimicrobial agents. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. ;12(4):564-582.
- Elliott, T., Worthington, T., Osman, H., et al. (2007). Medical Microbiology and Infection (4th ed.), Blackwell Publishing Ltd., Oxford, U.K.
- Prabuseenivasan, S., Jayakumar, M., & Ignacimuthu ,S .(2006) . In vitro antibacterial activity of some plant essential oils. Complement Altern. Med.;6:39-46.
- 36. Saddiq, A.A.N.(2008). Anti agnostic effect of Musk and Sidr leaves on some of the opportunistic fungi that cause Lung toxicity. accepted for publication in the issue of the Fifth International Conference on Biological Sciences.
- Gain, S.K., & Agarwal, S.C.(1998). Fungistatic activity of some perfumes against otomycotic pathogens. Perspectivesin Environment, pp.257-260.
- Youssuf, A.M., Gherbawy, H.,& Yaser,M. (2003). Fungicides and some biological controller agents effects on the growth of Fusarium oxysporum causing paprika wilt. Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot., 36 (3): 235-245.
- Karadimos, D. K., Karaglanidis, G. S., & Klonari, K. T. (2005). Biologicalactivity and physical modes of action of the Qo inhibitor fungicides trifloxystrobin and pyraclostrobin against Cercospora beticola. Crop Prot.; 24:23-29.